



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
07/576,023	08/31/90	KIM	A

CAESAR, RIVISE, BERNSTEIN,
COHEN & POKOTILOV
SUITE 800, STEPHEN GIRARD BUILDING
21 SOUTH 12TH STREET
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19107

EXAMINER	
MCCARTHY, N	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
138	3

DATE MAILED:

07/02/91 p 1/2

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Mr. Faigus (3) _____
(2) Neil McCarthy (4) _____

Date of interview June 25, 1991

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☒ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: those on the case, particularly independent claim 1

Identification of prior art discussed: Treanor (4,479,880) and Louboutin et al. (4,604,197) were discussed.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Faigus reviewed

the Treanor and Louboutin et al. patents. The Examiner suggested a amend-
ment of claim 1 to include specific air and liquid flow rates and
that discontinuation of the air/water scour is initiated at the
hedloss value recited in claim 4. The air and liquid flow rates
come from claim 7. The Treanor patent is relevant especially
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

Neil McCarthy
Examiner's Signature



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
07/576,023	08/31/90	KIM	A

CAESAR, RIVISE, BERNSTEIN,
COHEN & POKOTILOV
SUITE 800, STEPHEN GIRARD BUILDING
21 SOUTH 12TH STREET
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19107

EXAMINER	
MCCARTHY, N	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	3/cont'd

DATE MAILED: 07/02/91

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. Faigus (3) _____
(2) Neil McCarthy (4) _____

Date of interview _____

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: at col. 5 lines 30.

However, Treans does not disclose the specific flow rates and point
(in terms of headloss) of termination of the air water/sewage.
Mr. Faigus agreed to amend claim 1 accordingly and
indicated that the amendment would be filed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

Neil McCarthy
Examiner's Signature